



 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \rangle = \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \rangle$ 

# Modelling Software-based Systems Lecture 5 The access control problem in Event-B Telecom Nancy (3A LE)

Dominique Méry Telecom Nancy,Université de Lorraine

> 2 mai 2025(10:18 A.M.) dominique.mery@loria.fr

1 Refinement of models

**2** Summary on Event-B

3 Case Study The Access Control (J.-R. Abrial)

**4** Conclusion

- **1** Refinement of models
- **2** Summary on Event-B
- 3 Case Study The Access Control (J.-R. Abrial)
- **4** Conclusion

# Current Summary

#### 1 Refinement of models

**2** Summary on Event-B

Case Study The Access Control (J.-R. Abrial)

4 Conclusion

- Refinement relates Event-B models
- Problem for starting a refinement-based development
- Problem for finding the best abstract model
- Problem for discharging unproved proof obligations generated for each refinement step

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \rangle = \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \rangle$ 

• The Access Control Problem

#### 1 Refinement of models

#### **2** Summary on Event-B

**3** Case Study The Access Control (J.-R. Abrial)

4 Conclusion

- Each variable V has a current value v, a next value v'
- Each event e over variables V is defined by a relation over v and v' denoted BA(e)(v, v').
- An event e has local parameters, variables, guards and actions.
- Events *observe* changes over state variables and changes can be related to code execution or to physical phenomena.

- An event of the simple form is denoted by :

```
< event_name > =
WHEN
< condition >
THEN
< action >
END
```

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \rangle = \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \rangle$ 

#### where

- $< event\_name > is an identifier$
- < condition > is the firing condition of the event
- < action > is a generalized substitution (parallel "assignment")

# Non-deterministic Form of an Event

- An event of the non-deterministic form is denoted by :

#### where

- $< event\_name > is an identifier$
- < variable > is a (list of) variable(s)
- < condition > is the firing condition of the event
- < action > is a generalized substitution (parallel "assignment")

### A generalized substitution can be

- Simple assignment : x := E
- Generalized assignment : x : |P(x, x')|
- Set assignment :  $x :\in S$

II

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle$ 

- Parallel composition : · · ·

,

# $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{INVARIANT} & \wedge & \mathsf{GUARD} \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \mathsf{ACTION} \ \textbf{establishes} \ \mathsf{INVARIANT} \end{array}$

- Given an event of the simple form :

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT e} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{WHEN} \\ G(x) \\ \text{THEN} \\ x := E(x) \\ \text{END} \end{array}$$

and invariant I(x) to be preserved, the statement to prove is :

$$I(x) \land G(x) \implies I(E(x))$$

- Given an event of the simple form :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{EVENT e} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{WHEN} \\ G(x) \\ \text{THEN} \\ x: |P(x,x') \\ \text{END} \end{array}$$

and invariant I(x) to be preserved, the statement to prove is :

$$I(x) \wedge G(x) \wedge P(x, x') \implies I(x')$$

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle$ 

- Given an event of the simple form :

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT e} \quad \widehat{=} \\ \text{WHEN} \\ G(x) \\ \text{THEN} \\ x :\in S(x) \\ \text{END} \end{array}$$

and invariant I(x) to be preserved, the statement to prove is :

$$I(x) \land G(x) \land x' \in S(x) \implies I(x')$$

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle$ 

- Given an event of the non-deterministic form :

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT e} \quad \widehat{=} \\ \text{ANY } v \text{ WHERE} \\ G(x,v) \\ \text{THEN} \\ x := E(x,v) \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

and invariant I(x) to be preserved, the statement to prove is :

$$I(x) \land G(x,v) \implies I(E(x,v))$$

- Abstract models works with variables  $\boldsymbol{x},$  and concrete one with  $\boldsymbol{y}$
- A gluing invariant J(x, y) links both sets of vrbls
- Each abstract event is refined by concrete one (see below)

- Some new events may appear : they refine "skip"
- Concrete events must not block more often than the abstract ones

- The set of new event alone must always block eventually

- Given an abstract and a corresponding concrete event



and invariants I(x) and J(x, y), the statement to prove is :

$$I(x) \ \land \ J(x,y) \ \land \ H(y) \implies G(x) \ \land \ J(E(x),F(y))$$

- Given an abstract and a corresponding concrete event



and invariants I(x) and J(x,y), the statement to prove is :

$$I(x) \ \land \ J(x,y) \ \land \ H(y) \implies G(x) \ \land \ J(E(x),F(y))$$

- $BA(ae)(x, x') \cong G(x) \land x' = E(x)$
- $BA(ce)(y, y') \cong H(y) \land y' = F(y)$

# Correct Refinement Verification (2)

- Given an abstract and a corresponding concrete event



$$\begin{array}{rcl} I(x) & \wedge & J(x,y) & \wedge & H(y,w) \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \exists v \cdot (G(x,v) & \wedge & J(E(x,v),F(y,w))) \end{array}$$

- $BA(ae)(x, x') \ \widehat{=} \ \exists v. G(x, v) \land x' = E(x)$
- $BA(ce)(y,y') \ \widehat{=} \ \exists w.H(y,w) \land y' = F(y)$

# Correct Refinement Verification (3)

- Given a NEW event

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{EVENT ne} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{WHEN} \\ H(y) \\ \text{THEN} \\ y := F(y) \\ \text{END} \end{array}$$

and invariants I(x) and J(x, y), the statement to prove is :

$$I(x) \ \land \ J(x,y) \ \land \ H(y) \implies \ J(x,F(y))$$

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle$ 

•  $BA(ne)(y, y') \cong H(y) \land y' = E(y)$ 

1 Refinement of models

**2** Summary on Event-B

3 Case Study The Access Control (J.-R. Abrial)

4 Conclusion

- To control accesses into locations.
- People are assigned certain authorizations
- Each person is given a magnetic card
- Doors are "one way" turnstyles
- Each turnstyle is equipped with :
  - a card reader
  - two lights (one green, the other red)

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \rangle$ 





- Sharing between Control and Equipment
- For this : constructing a closed model
- Defining the physical environment
- Possible generalization of problem
- Studying safety questions
- Studying synchronisation questions

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \rangle$ 

- Studying marginal behaviour

- P1 : The model concerns people and locations
- P2 : A person is authorized to be in some locations
- P3 : A person can only be in one location at a time
- D1 : Outside is a location where everybody can be
- P4 : A person is always in some location
- P5 : A person is always authorized to be in his location

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle$ 

# Example

#### Sets

### Authorizations

p1	12,	14	
p2	1,	13,	14
р3	12,	13,	14

#### Correct scenario

p1	4		p1	12	]	p1	12		p1	14		p1	14
p2	4	$\rightarrow$	p2	14	$\rightarrow$	p2	1	$\rightarrow$	p2	1	$\rightarrow$	p2	1
р3	14		р3	14		р3	14		р3	14		p3	13

# $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Basic sets}: \mbox{persons } P \mbox{ and locations } B \mbox{ (prop. P1)} \\ \mbox{Constant}: \mbox{ authorizations } A \mbox{ (prop. P2)} \\ A \mbox{ is a binary relation between } P \mbox{ and } B \end{array}$

$$A \ \in \ P \leftrightarrow B$$

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \rangle$ 

# Constant : outside is a location where everybody is authorized to be (decision D1)

 $outside \in B$ 

 $P \times \{outside\} \subseteq A$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Variable}: \mbox{situations } {\rm C} \mbox{ (prop. P3 and P4)} \\ {\rm C} \mbox{ is a total function between } {\rm P} \mbox{ and } {\rm B} \\ \mbox{A total function is a special case of a binary relation} \end{array}$ 

 $c\in P\to B$ 

Invariant : situations compatible with auth. (prop. P5) The function C is included in the relation A

$$\mathbf{C}\subseteq\mathbf{A}$$

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle$ 

## A magic event which can be observed

- GUARD :  $\begin{cases} \text{ Given some person } p \text{ and location } l \\ p \text{ is authorized to be in } l : p, l \in \mathbf{A} \\ p \text{ is not currently in } l : C(p) \neq l \end{cases}$
- ACTION : p jumps into l

Given two relations a and bOverriding a by b yields a new relation  $a \triangleleft b$ 

$$a \triangleleft b \quad \widehat{=} \quad (\mathsf{dom}\,(b) \triangleleft a) \ \cup \ b$$

Abbreviation

$$f(x) := y \quad \widehat{=} \quad f := f \nleftrightarrow \{x \mapsto y\}$$

INVARIANT  $\land$  GUARD  $\implies$ ACTION establishes INVARIANT  $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{A} \wedge$  $p \in \mathbf{P} \wedge$  $l \in \mathbf{B} \wedge$  $p \mapsto l \in \mathbf{A}$  $\implies$  $(\{p\} \triangleleft C) \cup \{p \mapsto l\} \subseteq A$ 

P6 : The geometry define how locations communicateP7 : A location does not communicate with itselfP8 : Persons move between communicating locations

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Constant}: \mbox{communication STRUCTURE (prop. P6 and P7)} \\ \mbox{STRUCTURE is a binary relation between B} \\ \mbox{The intersection of STRUCTURE with the identity relation on} \\ \mbox{B is empty} \end{array}$ 

STRUCTURE  $\in B \leftrightarrow B$ 

STRUCTURE  $\cap$  id(B) =  $\varnothing$
### Correct Refinement Verification (reminder)

#### Concrete events do not block more often than abstract ones

$$\begin{array}{rcl} I(x) & \wedge & J(x,y) & \wedge \\ \text{disjunction of abstract guards} \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \text{disjunction of concrete guards} \end{array}$$

New events block eventually (decreasing the same quantity V(y))

$$I(x) \ \land \ J(x,y) \ \land \ H(y) \ \land \ V(y) = n \implies V(F(y)) < n$$

### Event (prop. P8) The guard is strengthened The current location of p and the new location l must communicate

Invariant preservation : Success Guard strengthening : Success

 $\begin{array}{l} \exists \, (p,l) \cdot \big( \, p \mapsto l \, \in \, \mathbf{A} \ \land \ \mathbf{C}(p) \mapsto l \, \in \, \mathrm{STRUCTURE} \, \big) \\ \Rightarrow \\ \exists \, (p,l) \cdot \big( \, p \mapsto l \, \in \, \mathbf{A} \ \land \ \mathbf{C}(p) \neq l \, \big) \end{array}$ 

Deadlockfreeness : Failure

$$\exists (p,l) \cdot (p \mapsto l \in A \land C(p) \neq l) \Rightarrow \exists (p,l) \cdot (p \mapsto l \in A \land C(p) \mapsto l \in \text{STRUCTURE})$$

## P9 : No person must remain blocked in a location. Solution

P10 : Any person authorized to be in a location must also be authorized to go in another location which communicates with the first one.

$$A \subseteq A$$
; structure<sup>-1</sup>

$$p \mapsto l \in \mathbf{A} \implies \exists m \cdot (p \mapsto m \in \mathbf{A} \land l \mapsto m \in \mathbf{STRUCTURE})$$

## Example

p1	12	p2	14
p1	14	p3	12
p2	1	p3	13
p2	13	p3	14

1	13
1	14
13	12
14	1
4	12
14	13

1	14
12	13
12	14
13	1
13	14
14	1

p1	1	p
p1	3	p
p1	4	p
p2	1	p

А

A; STRUCT

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > 40/100

STRUCTURE STRUCTURE<sup>-1</sup>

- Opening a door between I2 and I4
- Authorizing p2 to go to l2



## Solution

				I	1	3		1	4			
<b>1</b>			14		11	13		12	13	p1	1	p2
pī	12	p2	14		11	14		12	-	p1	12	p2
p1	14	p3	12		12	4		2	14			- ·
· · ·	11	· ·	3		13	12		13	1	p1	3	p3
p2	11	p3	13		15	12		15	11	p1	14	p3
p2	12	p3	4		14	1		3	14	•		
	13	-			14	12		14	1	p2	1	p3
p2	IS				14			14	. –	p2	12	p3
				14	3		4	12	P2	12	P9	
	<b>`</b>											
А										$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{S}$	TRUC	TURE
S				STRUCTURE STRUCTURE <sup>-1</sup>					1100	) I UILL		

#### Decision

 $\mathsf{D2}:\mathsf{The}$  system that we are going to construct does not guarantee that people can move "outside".

Constante : exit is a function, included in com, with no cycle

$$exit \in \mathbf{B} - \{outside\} \to \mathbf{B}$$
$$exit \subseteq com$$
$$\forall s \cdot (s \subseteq \mathbf{B} \implies (s \subseteq exit^{-1}[s] \implies s = \emptyset))$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall x \cdot (x \in s \implies \exists y \cdot (y \in s \land (x, y) \in exit)) \\ \Longrightarrow \\ s = \varnothing \end{array}$$

exit is a tree spanning the graph represented by com

P10' : Every person authorized to be in a location (which is not "outside") must also be authorized to be in another location communicating with the former and leading towards the exit.

$$A \triangleright \{outside\} \subseteq A; exit^{-1}$$

$$p \mapsto l \in \mathcal{A} \land$$
$$l \neq outside$$
$$\implies$$
$$p \mapsto exit(l) \in \mathcal{A}$$

Show that no cycle implies the possibility to prove property by induction and vice-versa

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall s \cdot (s \subseteq \mathbf{B} \land s \subseteq exit^{-1}[s] \implies s = \varnothing ) \\ \Leftrightarrow \\ \forall t \cdot (t \subseteq \mathbf{B} \land outside \in t \land exit^{-1}[t] \subseteq t \implies t = \mathbf{B} ) \end{array}$$

$$t \subseteq B$$
  

$$outside \in t$$
  

$$\forall (x, y) \cdot ((x \mapsto y) \in exit \land y \in t \implies x \in t)$$
  

$$\Longrightarrow$$
  

$$t = B$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > 45/100

- P11 : Locations communicate via one-way doors.
- P12 : A person get through a door only if accepted.
- P13 : A door is acceptable by at most one person at a time.
- P14 : A person is accepted for at most one door only.
- P15 : A person is accepted if at the origin of the door.
- P16 : A person is accepted if authorized at destination.

### Set : the set DOORS of doors Constants : The origin ORG and destination DST of a door (prop. P11)

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm ORG} \ \in \ {\rm doors} \rightarrow {\rm B} \\ {\rm DST} \ \in \ {\rm doors} \rightarrow {\rm B} \\ {\rm structure} \ = \ ({\rm ORG}^{-1} \ ; \ {\rm DST}) \end{array}$ 

# Variable : the rel. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DAP}}$ between persons and doors (prop. P12 to P16)

P17 : Green light of a door is lit when access is accepted.
P18 : When a person has got through, the door blocks.
P19 : After 30 seconds, the door blocks automatically.
P20 : Red light is lit for 2 sec.when access is refused.
P21 : Red and green lights are not lit simultaneously.

# Definition : GREEN is exactly the range of DAP (prop. P17 to P19)

$$GREEN \ \widehat{=} \ ran(DAP)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > 50/100

Variable : The set *red* of red doors (prop. P20)

 $red \subseteq doors$ 

Invariant : GREEN and *red* are incompatible (prop. P21)

GREEN  $\cap$  *red* =  $\emptyset$ 

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{P22}}$  : Person p is accepted through door d if

- $\boldsymbol{p}$  is situated within the origin of  $\boldsymbol{d}$
- $\boldsymbol{p}$  is authorized to move to the dest. of  $\boldsymbol{d}$
- p is not engaged with another door

admitted  $(p, d) \cong$  $ORG(d) = C(p) \land$  $p \mapsto DST(d) \in A \land$  $p \notin \operatorname{dom}(dap)$ 

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle \rangle$ 

### Accepting a person p - GUARD :

- $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text{- Given some person } p \text{ and door } d \\ \text{- } d \text{ is neither green nor red} \\ \text{- } p \text{ is admissible through } d \end{array}\right.$
- ACTION : make p authorized to pass d

```
EVENT accept \widehat{=}
  ANY p, d WHERE
     p \in P \land
     d \in \text{DOORS} \land
     d \notin \text{GREEN} \cup \underline{red} \wedge
     admitted (p, d)
  THEN
     DAP(p) := d
  END
```

## A New Event (2)

#### Refusing a person p- GUARD : - Given some person p and door d- d is neither green nor red - p is not admissible through d- ACTION : - lit the red light

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{EVENT refuse} \ \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{ANY } p, d \ \textbf{WHERE} \\ p \in P \land \\ d \in \text{DORS } \land \\ d \notin \text{GREEN } \cup \textbf{red} \land \\ \neg \text{ admitted } (p, d) \\ \textbf{THEN} \\ \textbf{red} := \textbf{red} \cup \{d\} \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}
```





<ロト</li>
・< => < => 55/100 のQ ()

### Turning lights off



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{EVENT off\_red} \quad \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{ANY} \ d \ \textbf{WHERE} \\ d \ \in \ red \\ \textbf{THEN} \\ red := \ red - \{d\} \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}$ 



- Event observation is a correct refinement : OK
- Other events refine skip : OK
- Event observation does not deadlock more : OK
- New events do not take control indefinitely : FAILURE

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > S8/100

### DANGER

- People without the required authorizations try indefinitely to enter some locations.
- Other people with the authorization always change mind at the last moment. SOLUTIONS

- Make such practice impossible???
- Card Readers can "swallow" a card

D3 : The system we are going to construct will not prevent people from blocking doors indefinitely :

- either by trying indefinitely to enter places into which they are not authorized to enter,
- or by indefinitely abandoning "on the way" their intention to enter the places in which they are in fact authorized to enter".

A decision

- D4 : Each card reader is supposed to stay blocked between :
  - the sending of a card to the system
  - the reception of an acknowledgement.

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle$ 

The set BLR of blocked Card Readers The set mCard of messages sent by Card Readers The set mAckn of acknowledgment messages

> $BLR \subseteq \text{DOORS}$  $mCard \in \text{DOORS} \Rightarrow P$  $mAckn \subseteq \text{DOORS}$

### dom (mCard), GREEN, red, mAckn partition BLR

 $dom (mCard) \cup GREEN \cup red \cup mAckn = BLR$  $dom (mCard) \cap (GREEN \cup red \cup mAckn) = \emptyset$  $mAckn \cap (GREEN \cup red) = \emptyset$ 

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \neg \neg \rangle$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{EVENT CARD} \hspace{0.2cm} \widehat{=} \\ \hspace{0.2cm} \textbf{ANY} \hspace{0.2cm} p, d \\ \hspace{0.2cm} \textbf{WHERE} \\ \hspace{0.2cm} p \in P \\ \hspace{0.2cm} d \in \text{DOORS} - BLR \\ \hspace{0.2cm} \textbf{THEN} \\ \hspace{0.2cm} BLR := BLR \cup \{d\} \\ \hspace{0.2cm} mCard := mCard \cup \{d \mapsto p\} \\ \hspace{0.2cm} \textbf{END} \end{array}
```



```
\begin{array}{l} \mbox{EVENT refuse4} & \widehat{=} \\ \mbox{REFINES refuse3} \\ \mbox{ANY } p, d \\ \mbox{WHERE} \\ d \mapsto p \in mCard \\ \neg \mbox{admitted} (p, d) \\ \mbox{THEN} \\ red := red \cup \{d\} \\ mCard := mCard - \{d \mapsto p\} \\ \mbox{END} \end{array}
```

<ロト</li>
・< => < => 66/100 のQの

```
EVENT observation 4 \cong

REFINES observation 3

ANY d

WHERE

d \in \text{GREEN}

THEN

C(\text{DAP}^{-1}(d)) := \text{DST}(d)

\text{DAP} := \text{DAP} \models \{d\}

mAckn := mAckn \cup \{d\}

END
```

<ロト</li>
・< => < => 67/100 のQで

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT off\_grn} \quad \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{ANY} \ d \ \textbf{WHERE} \\ d \in GREEN \\ \textbf{THEN} \\ DAP := DAP \triangleright \{d\} \\ mAckn := mAckn \cup \{d\} \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT off\_red} & \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{ANY} \ d \ \textbf{WHERE} \\ d \in red \\ \textbf{THEN} \\ red := red - \{d\} \\ mAckn := mAckn \cup \{d\} \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}
```

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{EVENT ACKN} & \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{ANY} \ d \ \textbf{WHERE} \\ d \ \in \ mAckn \\ \textbf{THEN} \\ BLR := \ BLR - \{d\} \\ mAckn := \ mAckn - \{d\} \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}$$



### Decisions

D5 : When a door has been cleared, it blocks itself automatically without any intervention from the control system.

- D6 : Each door incorporates a local clock for
  - the extinction of the green light after 30 sec.
  - the extinction of the red light after 2 sec.
The set mAccept of acceptance messages (to doors) The set GRN of physical green doors The set mPass of passing messages (from doors) The set  $mOff\_grn$  of messages (from doors)

 $mAccept \subseteq \text{DOORS}$ 

 $GRN \subseteq \text{doors}$ 

 $mPass \subseteq \text{doors}$ 

 $mOff\_grn \subseteq DOORS$ 

mAccept, GRN, mPass, mOff\_grn partition GREEN

 $mAccept \cup GRN \cup mPass \cup mOff\_grn = GREEN$  $mAccept \cap (GRN \cup mPass \cup mOff\_grn) = \emptyset$  $GRN \cap (mPass \cup mOff\_grn) = \emptyset$  $mPass \cap mOff\_grn = \emptyset$ 

Telecom Nancy 2024-2025 (Dominique Méry)

The set mRefuse of messages (to doors) The set  $\underline{RED}$  of phyical red doors The set  $mOff\_red$  of messages (from doors)

 $mRefuse \subseteq DOORS$ 

 $RED \subseteq DOORS$ 

 $mOff\_red \subseteq DOORS$ 

### mRefuse, RED, mOff\_red partition red

 $mRefuse \ \cup \ RED \ \cup \ mOff\_red \ = \ red$  $mRefuse \ \cap \ (RED \ \cup \ mOff\_red) \ = \ \varnothing$  $RED \ \cap \ mOff\_red \ = \ \emptyset$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{EVENT} \ \textbf{accept} \ \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{ANY} \ p, d \ \textbf{WHERE} \\ d \mapsto p \in mCard \quad \land \\ \textbf{admitted} \ (p, d) \\ \textbf{THEN} \\ DAP(p) := d \\ mCard := mCard - \{d \mapsto p\} \\ mAccept := mAccept \ \cup \ \{d\} \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}
```

<ロト</li>
・< => 、 => 、 => 76/100 の Q ()

# $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{EVENT ACCEPT} & \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{ANY} \ d \ \textbf{WHERE} \\ d \ \in \ mAccept \\ \textbf{THEN} \\ GRN \ := \ GRN \ \cup \ \{d\} \\ mAccept \ := \ mAccept - \ \{d\} \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}$

<ロト</a>
・ロト
・< => 、< =>77/100 の<</p>

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{EVENT PASS} \ \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{ANY} \ d \ \textbf{WHERE} \\ \ d \ \in GRN \\ \textbf{THEN} \\ GRN \ := \ GRN - \{d\} \\ mPass \ := \ mPass \ \cup \ \{d\} \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}$$

<ロ > < 団 > < 国 > < 三 > < 三 >78/100 のQ (~

Telecom Nancy 2024-2025 (Dominique Méry)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT observation5} \ \widehat{=} \\ \text{REFINES observation4} & \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ d \in mPass \\ \text{THEN} \\ c(\text{DAP}^{-1}(d)) := \text{DST}(d) \\ \text{DAP} := \text{DAP} \triangleright \{d\} \\ mAckn := mAckn \cup \{d\} \\ mPass := mPass - \{d\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ >79/100 の Q (~

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{EVENT OFF\_GRN} \ \ \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{ANY } d \ \textbf{WHERE} \\ d \in GRN \\ \textbf{THEN} \\ GRN := GRN - \{d\} \\ mOff\_grn := mOff\_grn \cup \{d\} \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}$$

```
EVENT off_grn \widehat{=}

ANY d WHERE

d \in mOff\_grn

THEN

DAP := DAP \triangleright {d}

mAckn := mAckn \cup {d}

mOff\_grn := mOff\_grn - {d}

END
```



$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{EVENT REFUSE} \ \ \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{ANY} \ d \ \textbf{WHERE} \\ d \ \in \ mRefuse \\ \textbf{THEN} \\ RED \ := \ RED \ \cup \ \{d\} \\ mRefuse \ := \ mRefuse - \ \{d\} \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}$$

<ロ > < 団 > < 国 > < 三 > < 三 > 83/100 のQ (~

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{EVENT OFF\_RED} & \triangleq \\ \textbf{ANY } d \textbf{ WHERE} \\ d \in RED \\ \textbf{THEN} \\ RED := RED - \{d\} \\ mOff\_red := mOff\_red \cup \{d\} \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}$$

```
EVENT off_red \widehat{=}

ANY d WHERE

d \in mOff\_red

THEN

red := red - \{d\}

mAckn := mAckn \cup \{d\}

mOff\_red := mOff\_red - \{d\}

END
```

<ロト</li>
・< => < => 85/100 のQで



## Communications

Hardware		Network		Software
CARD	$\rightarrow$	mCard	$\rightarrow$	{ accept (1) { refuse (2)
ACCEPT	$\leftarrow$	mAccept	$\leftarrow$	(1)
PASS	$\rightarrow$	mPass	$\rightarrow$	observation (3)
OFF_GRN	$\rightarrow$	$mOff\_grn$	$\rightarrow$	off_grn (3)
REFUSE	$\leftarrow$	mRefuse	$\leftarrow$	(2)
OFF_RED	$\rightarrow$	$mOff\_red$	$\rightarrow$	off_red $(3)$
ACKN	$\leftarrow$	mAckn	$\leftarrow$	(3)

## Decomposition (1)

#### Software Data

$$aut \in P \leftrightarrow B$$
  
ORG \in DOORS \rightarrow B  
DST \in DOORS \rightarrow B  
A \subseteq A; DST^{-1}; ORG  
C \in P \rightarrow B  

$$dap \in P \rightarrowtail DOORS$$
  

$$red \subseteq DOORS$$

Telecom Nancy 2024-2025 (Dominique Méry)

## Decomposition (2)

#### Network data

$mCard \in \text{doors} \rightarrow P$			
$mAckn \subseteq \text{doors}$			
$mAccept \subseteq \text{doors}$			
$mPass \subseteq \text{doors}$			
$mOff\_grn \subseteq \text{doors}$			
$mRefuse \subseteq$ doors			
$mOff\_red \subseteq \text{doors}$			

"Physical" Data

 $BLR \subseteq \text{doors}$ 

 $GRN \subseteq$  doors

 $RED \subseteq DOORS$ 



**EVENT** accept\_soft(p, d)

**EVENT** refuse\_soft(d)

**EVENT** pass\_soft(d)

**EVENT** off\_grn\_soft(d)

**EVENT** off\_red\_soft(d)

## **Physical Operations**



Telecom Nancy 2024-2025 (Dominique Méry)

## Network Software Operations

$$(p, d) \longleftarrow read\_card$$
  
write\_accept $(d)$   
write\_refuse $(d)$   
 $d \longleftarrow read\_pass$   
 $d \longleftarrow read\_off\_grn$   
 $d \longleftarrow read\_off\_red$   
write\_ackn $(d)$ 



$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{EVENT CARD} & \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{VAR} \ p, d \ \textbf{IN} \\ (p, d) \longleftarrow & \textbf{READ\_HARD}; \\ \textbf{SEND\_CARD}(p, d) \\ \textbf{END} \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT ACCEPT} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{VAR } d \text{ IN} \\ d \leftarrow \text{RCV_ACCEPT}; \\ \text{ACCEPT_HARD}(d) \\ \text{END} \end{array}$ 



 $\mathsf{ACKN}_\mathsf{HARD}(d)$ 

22 Properties et 6 "System" Decisions - One Problem Generalization

- Access between locations
- One Negative Choice :
- Possible Card Readers Obstructions
- Three Physical Decisions
- Automatic Blocking of Doors
- Automatic Blocking of Card Readers
- Setting up of Clocks on Doors
- The overall development required 183 proofs

- 147 automatic (80%)
- 36 interactive

- 1 Refinement of models
- **2** Summary on Event-B
- 3 Case Study The Access Control (J.-R. Abrial)

<ロト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 >98/100 の Q ()

**4** Conclusion

- Identify an abstract model
- Identify constants and states
- Identify components
- Plan the refinement
- Start as long as the model is not well defined !

- A is a variable which can be modified by events mdeolling the administration of the access control model :
  - adding authorizations to a set of persons
  - removing or deleting authorizations of a set of persons
- Generalizing to other problems :
  - a set of users U has access to a set of resources R.
  - a set of rooms R is managed by a set of keycards K.
  - a set of users U has access to a set of services S.